### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference USF-2002TXC1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/021046	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 June 2004 (28.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27 June 2003 (27.06.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application	
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			
			Date of issuance of this report 03 January 2006 (03.01.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		lombettes	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara	
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

y gos

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 19 NOV 2004

W	/IPO	

PCT

P

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/US2004/021046

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

28.06.2004

V 1 1 15 15----- 1100

27.06.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

A61F2/24, A61F2/06

**Applicant** 

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

- 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

  - Box No. II Priority
  - Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
  - Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
  - Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
    - applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
  - ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
  - ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
  - Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this international Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Mary, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4409



## ' WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/021046

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.		egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type	of material:		
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:			
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	ha · co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Additio	onal comments:		

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/021046

	Box	No. II	Priority
1.	$\boxtimes$	The fol	owing document has not been furnished:
		$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has eless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	litional o	bservations, if necessary:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/021046

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application,			
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 25			
bec	because:			
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 25			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
	See separate sheet for further	detail	ls .	

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/021046

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-13,16-24

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

14-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/US2004/021046

#### Re Item III.

Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery

#### Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 544 285 B1 (ROBICSEK FRANCIS ET AL) 8 April 2003 (2003-04-08)

D2: US 6 364 905 B1 (CASAGRANDE IVAN ET AL) 2 April 2002 (2002-04-02)

D3: EP 1 230 901 A (TOKYO WOMEN S MEDICAL COLLEGE; GUNZE KK (JP))

14 August 2002 (2002-08-14)

D4: US 6 197 143 B1 (BODNAR ENDRE) 6 March 2001 (2001-03-06)

D5: US 6 375 679 B1 (COLIN CLARK ET AL) 23 April 2002 (2002-04-23)

D6: WO 95/03754 A (SKALKEAS GRIGORIOS) 9 February 1995 (1995-02-09)

### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

  Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) (see column 8, line 47 to column 25, line 52): a prosthesis (10) comprising a vessel-like structure (20)having a first end adapted for surgical attachment to a left ventricle, a second end adapted for surgical attachment to an aorta and interposed between the first and second ends, a sinus portion (30) configured in the shape of the sinuses of Valsalva in a human aortic valve.
- 2.2 Documents D2, D3, D4, D5 disclose as well the subject-matter of claim 1. The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore not new in the sense of Art.33(2) PCT.
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-24
  Dependent claims 2-24 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

### Re Item VIII.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/021046

3 Claims 16, 22, 24 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.